White Paper, OECD, CRC and CHE Positions: The View of an Academic Economist and Policy-maker



Discussion by Jan Svejnar White paper and Beyond Conference, Prague October 17, 2009



Overall Assessment

- Many good ideas
 - Competition => quality
 - Student needs (compete worldwide) => schools must deliver quality education and recognition
 - Need to assure academic freedom while restructuring
 - Need to increase financing (block grants, deferred tuition) and cost effectiveness
- Divergence of opinions on implementation
 - Appetite for finding "beneficial" restructuring



Fundamental Issues

- Competition and quality
 - Student needs (compete worldwide) => schools must deliver quality education and recognition
 - Student choice of schools
 - Merit (academic) grants



Fundamental Issues (2)

- Academic freedom while restructuring
 - What is academic freedom
 - Insider-outsider problem (rigidity)
 - Role of university/faculty senates, departments/"catedras" and ad hoc "blue ribbon" committees, external representatives
 - What kind of restructuring is needed
 - Appointment and managerial power of deans, rectors and boards
 - Accountability



Fundamental Issues (3)

- Need to increase financing
 - Block grants (public) to schools v. students
 - (Not) linking level of funding to quality evaluation?
 - Deferred tuition and loans (immediate payment to schools)
 - Private sector
 - Alumni (successful graduates?)
 - Example of best public schools in the US
 - Link teaching to research at research universities



Fundamental Issues (4)

- Diversity of institutions of tertiary education
 - Specialization can raise quality



Overall Assessment

- Much work has been done (White Paper, Responses, analyses of other countries)
- Much agreement on principles
- Significant agreement on how and why things (do not) work in various countries
- Worries about specific implementation in a "transition environment"
- Leading external academics on boards could be a key